

A CHARACTERISTIC NUMBER OF BUNDLES DETERMINED BY MASS LINEAR PAIRS

ANDRÉS VIÑA

ABSTRACT. Let Δ be a Delzant polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let E denote the symplectic fibration over S^2 determined by the pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$. We prove the equivalence between the fact that $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair (D. McDuff, S. Tolman, *Polytopes with mass linear functions, part I*, arXiv:0807.0900 [math.SG]) and the vanishing of a characteristic number of E in the following cases: When Δ is a Δ_{n-1} bundle over Δ_1 ; when Δ is the polytope associated with the one point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$; and when Δ is the polytope associated with a Hirzebruch surface.

MSC 2000: 53D05, 57S05

1. INTRODUCTION

Let T be the torus $(U(1))^n$ and $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k)$ the polytope in $\mathfrak{t}^*$ with m facets defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k) = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \langle x, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle \leq k_j\},$$

where $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and the $\mathbf{n}_j \in \mathfrak{t}$ are the outward conormals to the facets. The facet defined by the equation $\langle x, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle = k_j$ will be denoted F_j , and we put $\text{Cm}(\Delta)$ for the mass center of the polytope Δ .

In [5] is defined the chamber $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$ of Δ as the set of $k' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the polytope $\Delta' := \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k')$ is analogous to Δ ; that is, the intersection $\bigcap_{j \in J} F_j$ is nonempty iff $\bigcap_{j \in J} F'_j \neq \emptyset$ for any $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. When we consider only polytopes which belong to the chamber of a fixed polytope we delete the $\mathbf{n}$ in the notation introduced in (1.1).

Further McDuff and Tolman introduced the concept of mass linear pair: Given the polytope Δ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{t}$, the pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is mass linear if the map

$$k \in \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

is linear on $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$.

Let (N, Ω) be a closed connected symplectic $2n$ -manifold. By $\text{Ham}(N, \Omega)$ we denote the Hamiltonian group of (N, Ω) [4], [6]. If ψ is a loop in $\text{Ham}(N, \Omega)$, then ψ determines a Hamiltonian fibre bundle $E \rightarrow S^2$ with standard fibre N via the clutching construction. In [3] various characteristic numbers for the fibre bundle E

Key words and phrases. Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, toric manifolds, symplectic fibrations.

This work has been partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología, grant MAT2007-65097-C02-02.

are defined. These numbers give rise to topological invariants of the loop ψ . In this note we will consider only the following characteristic number

$$(1.2) \quad I(\psi) := \int_E c_1(VTE) c^n,$$

where VTE is the vertical tangent bundle of E and $c \in H^2(E, \mathbb{R})$ is the coupling class of the fibration $E \rightarrow S^2$ [2], [4]. $I(\psi)$ depends only on the homotopy class of the loop ψ . Moreover the map

$$(1.3) \quad I : \psi \in \pi_1(\text{Ham}(N)) \mapsto I(\psi) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is an $\mathbb{R}$ -valued group homomorphism [3].

Let us suppose that Δ is a Delzant polytope. We shall denote by $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, \mu_\Delta)$ the toric manifold determined by Δ ($\mu_\Delta : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ being the corresponding moment map). Given $\mathbf{b}$, an element in the integer lattice of $\mathfrak{t}$, we shall write $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ for the loop of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta)$ defined by $\mathbf{b}$. The bundle with fibre M_Δ determined by $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ will be denoted $E_{\Delta, \mathbf{b}}$, and we will let $I(\Delta; \mathbf{b})$ for the characteristic number $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$. When we consider only polytopes in the chamber of a given polytope, we will write $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ instead of $I(\Delta(k); \mathbf{b})$ for k in this chamber.

In Section 2 we study the characteristic number $I(k; \mathbf{b})$, when $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is linear pair and k varies in the chamber of Δ , and we prove that $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of ten k_j (see Proposition 4).

In Section 3 we consider the case when the polytope Δ is a Δ_p bundle over Δ_1 with $p > 1$ [5]. Then M_Δ is a $2(p+1)$ -dimensional manifold diffeomorphic to the total space of the fibre bundle $\mathbb{P}(L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_p \oplus \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$, where each L_j is a holomorphic line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Given $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, we prove that $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is the product of two factors, $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b})$, such that the first one is independent of $\mathbf{b}$ and the second one is independent of $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ (Theorem 10). We also prove the equivalence between the vanishing of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b})$ and the fact that $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair (Theorem 11). As a consequence we deduce that a necessary and sufficient condition for the vanishing of $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ on $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$ is that $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ be a mass linear pair (see Theorem 12). From this theorem we will deduce that $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_\Delta))$, if the pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is not mass linear (Proposition 13).

The case when the polytope Δ is the one associated with a Hirzebruch surface is considered in Subsection 4.1. Using calculations carried out in [7], we will prove the equivalence between the vanishing of $I(\Delta; \mathbf{b})$ and the fact that $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair (Theorem 17). In a Remark we give a general proof, based in general properties of the characteristic number I , of the implication: $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair $\implies I(k; \mathbf{b})$ vanishes on the chamber of Δ . The arguments developed in this proof are applicable to other polytopes; for example to the polytope associated with the one point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ (see Proposition 20).

In Subsection 4.2 we consider the polytope Δ associated to the manifold one point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. We also prove the equivalence: $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta \iff (\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair (see Theorem 22). As a consequence we deduce a simple sufficient condition for $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ to generate an infinite cyclic subgroup in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_\Delta))$ (Proposition 23).

In summary, we prove the equivalence between the vanishing of $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ and the property of $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ being a mass linear pair, in the following cases: When Δ is a Δ_p bundle over Δ_1 , when Δ is the trapezoid associated to a Hirzebruch

surface, when Δ is the truncated simplex associated to the one point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

In the proof of the mentioned results plays a crucial role a formula for the characteristic number $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$ obtained in [8]. This formula gives $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$ in terms of the integrals, on the facets of the polytope, of the normalized Hamiltonian corresponding to the loop $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ (see (2.1)).

Let $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ be a pair consisting of a Delzant polytope in $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and an element in the integer lattice of $\mathfrak{t}$. In view the above results one is tempted to conjecture the equivalence between the following statements

- a) $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_{\Delta}$.
- b) $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair.

We think that a possible proof of this conjecture using formula (2.1) will probably involve general properties, valid for all Delzant polytopes, about $\text{Cm}(\Delta)$ and the mass center of the facets F_j .

Acknowledgements. I thank Dusa McDuff and Susan Tolman for sending me a working draft of the paper [5] and for comments.

2. A CHARACTERISTIC NUMBER

Let us suppose that the polytope Δ defined in (1.1) is a Delzant polytope in $\mathfrak{t}^*$. If $\mathbf{b}$ is in the integer lattice of $\mathfrak{t}$, an expression for the value of $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$ in terms of integrals of the Hamiltonian function has been obtained in Section 4 of [8]

$$(2.1) \quad I(\Delta; \mathbf{b}) := I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}}) = -n \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{D_j} f(\omega_{\Delta})^{n-1},$$

where $D_j := \mu_{\Delta}^{-1}(F_j)$ is oriented by the restriction of ω_{Δ} , and f being the normalized Hamiltonian of the corresponding circle action; that is,

$$f = \langle \mu_{\Delta}, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \text{constant} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{M_{\Delta}} f(\omega_{\Delta})^n = 0.$$

That is,

$$(2.2) \quad I(\Delta; \mathbf{b}) = n \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle \int_{D_j} (\omega_{\Delta})^{n-1} - \int_{D_j} \langle \mu_{\Delta}, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_{\Delta})^{n-1} \right),$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{\int_M \langle \mu_{\Delta}, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_{\Delta})^n}{\int_M (\omega_{\Delta})^n}.$$

If $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k)$ we consider the polytope $\Delta' = \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k')$ obtained from Δ by the translation defined by a vector a of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. As we said, we write $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ and $I(k'; \mathbf{b})$ for the corresponding characteristic numbers. According to the construction of the respective toric manifolds (see [1]),

$$M_{\Delta'} = M_{\Delta}, \quad \omega_{\Delta'} = \omega_{\Delta}, \quad \mu_{\Delta'} = \mu_{\Delta} + a.$$

But the *normalized* Hamiltonians f and f' corresponding to the action of $\mathbf{b}$ on M_{Δ} and $M_{\Delta'}$ are equal. Thus it follows from (2.1) that $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = I(k'; \mathbf{b})$. More precisely, we have the evident proposition

Proposition 1. *If a is an arbitrary vector of $\mathfrak{t}^*$, then $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = I(k'; \mathbf{b})$, for $k'_i = k_i + \langle a, \mathbf{n}_i \rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Following [1] we recall some points of the construction of $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, \mu_\Delta)$ from the polytope Δ defined by (1.1), in order to study the value of the integrals that appear in (2.2) and (2.3). Given Δ , we put $r := m - n$ and $\tilde{T} := (S^1)^r$. The $\mathbf{n}_i$ determine weights $w_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^*$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ for a $\tilde{T}$ -action on $\mathbb{C}^m$. Then moment map for this action is

$$J : z \in \mathbb{C}^m \mapsto J(z) = \pi \sum_{j=1}^m |z_j|^2 w_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^*.$$

The k_i define a regular value σ for J , and the manifold M is the following orbit space

$$(2.4) \quad M_\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \pi \sum_{j=1}^m |z_j|^2 w_j = \sigma\} / \tilde{T},$$

where the relation defined by $\tilde{T}$ is

$$(2.5) \quad (z_j) \simeq (z'_j) \text{ iff there is } \mathbf{y} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{t}} \text{ such that } z'_j = z_j e^{2\pi i \langle w_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Identifying $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^*$ with $\mathbb{R}^r$, $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ and each σ_a is a linear combination of the k_j 's.

After a possible change in numeration of the facets, we can assume that $F_1, \dots, F_n$ intersect at a vertex of Δ . If we write $z_j = \rho_j e^{i\theta_j}$, then the symplectic form can be written on $\{[z] \in M : z_i \neq 0, \forall i\}$

$$(2.6) \quad \omega_\Delta = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^n d\rho_i^2 \wedge d\varphi_i,$$

with φ_i an angular variables, linear combination of the θ_j 's.

The action of $T = (S^1)^n$ on M

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)[z_1, \dots, z_m] := [\alpha_1 z_1, \dots, \alpha_n z_n, z_{n+1}, \dots, z_m]$$

gives M a structure of toric manifold. Identifying $\mathfrak{t}^*$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$, the moment map $\mu_\Delta : M_\Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\mu_\Delta([z]) = \pi(\rho_1^2, \dots, \rho_n^2) + (d_1, \dots, d_n),$$

where the constants d_i are linear combinations of the k_j 's and

$$(2.7) \quad \text{im } \mu_\Delta = \Delta.$$

By Proposition 1 we can assume that all d_j are zero in the determination of $I(k; \mathbf{b})$.

We write $x_i := \pi\rho_i^2$, then

$$\int_{M_\Delta} (\omega_\Delta)^n = n! \int_{\Delta} dx_1 \dots dx_n, \quad \int_{M_\Delta} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^n = n! \int_{\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

The following Lemma is useful to evaluate some integrals which will appear henceforth.

Lemma 2. *If*

$$S_n(\tau) := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \tau, \quad 0 \leq x_j, \forall j \right\},$$

then

$$\int_{S_n(\tau)} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau^n}{n!}, & \text{if } f = 1 \\ c \frac{\tau^{n+c}}{(n+c)!}, & \text{if } f = x_i^c, c = 1, 2 \\ \frac{\tau^{n+2}}{(n+2)!}, & \text{if } f = x_i x_j, i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

More general, if $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we put

$$S_n(c, \tau) := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \leq \tau, \quad 0 \leq x_j, \forall j \right\},$$

then

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{S_n(c, \tau)} dx_1 \dots dx_n = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tau}{c_i}, \quad \int_{S_n(c, \tau)} x_j dx_1 \dots dx_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \frac{\tau}{c_j} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tau}{c_i}$$

Thus, in the particular case that $\Delta = S_n(c, \tau)$, then $\int_{M_\Delta} (\omega_\Delta)^n$ is a monomial of degree n in τ , and $\int_{M_\Delta} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^n$ is a monomial of degree $n+1$.

We return to the general case in which Δ is the polytope defined in (1.1). Its vertices are the solutions to

$$\langle x, \mathbf{n}_{j_a} \rangle = k_{j_a}, \quad a = 1, \dots, n,$$

hence the coordinates of any vertex of Δ are linear combinations of the k_j .

A hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^n$ through a vertex $(x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0)$ of Δ is given by an equation of the form

$$(2.9) \quad \langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \langle x^0, \mathbf{n} \rangle =: \kappa.$$

So κ is a linear combination of the k_j .

By drawing hyperplanes through vertices of Δ we can obtain a family $\{\beta S\}$ of subsets of Δ such that:

a) Each βS is the transformed of a simplex $S_n(b, \tau)$ by an element of the group of Euclidean motions in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

b) For $\alpha \neq \beta$, ${}_\alpha S \cap {}_\beta S$ is a subset of the border of ${}_\alpha S$.

c) $\bigcup_\beta {}_\beta S = \Delta$.

So, by construction, each facet of βS is of the form (2.9) with κ linear combination of the k_j .

On the other hand the hyperplane π , $\langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \kappa$, is transformed by an element of $SO(n)$ in an hyperplane $\langle x, \mathbf{n}' \rangle = \kappa$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is a translation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which applies $S_n(b, \tau)$ onto βS , then this transformation maps $(0, \dots, 0)$ in a vertex $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of βS . So the translation $\mathcal{T}$ transforms π in $\langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \kappa + \langle a, \mathbf{n} \rangle =: \kappa'$. As the a_j are linear combinations of the k_j , so is κ' . Hence any element of the group of Euclidean motions in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which maps $S_n(b, \tau)$ onto βS transforms the hyperplane π through a vertex of Δ in an hyperplane $\langle x, \mathbf{n}' \rangle = \kappa'$ with κ' a linear combination of the k_j . In particular, τ is a linear combination of the k_j , and by (2.8)

$$\int_{\beta S} dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int_{S_n(b, \tau)} dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

is a monomial of degree n of a linear combination of the k_j . Thus,

$$\int_M (\omega_\Delta)^n = \sum_\beta \int_{\beta S} dx_1 \dots dx_n,$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n of the k_j .

Similarly

$$\int_{M_\Delta} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^n$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n + 1$ of the k_j . Analogous results hold for $\int_{D_j} (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1}$ and $\int_{D_j} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1}$.

It follows from (2.2), (2.3) together with the preceding argument the following proposition

Proposition 3. *Given a Delzant polytope Δ , if $\mathbf{b}$ belongs to the integer lattice of $\mathfrak{t}$, then $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is a rational function of the k_i for $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$.*

Analogously we have

Proposition 4. *If $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is mass linear pair, then $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is a homogeneous polynomial in the k_i of degree n , when $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$.*

Proposition 5. *If for the polytope Δ defined in (1.1) $\mathbf{n}_a = -\mathbf{n}_i$, with $i \neq a$, and $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair, then $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is a polynomial divisible by $k_a - k_i$.*

Proof. Given $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$, maintaining k_a fixed we vary k so that $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ and $k_i \rightarrow k_a$. In the limit Δ collapses in the facet F_a . As $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is mass linear

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{k_i \rightarrow k_a} \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \text{Cm}(F_a), \mathbf{b} \rangle,$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(F_a), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{\int_{D_a} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1}}{\int_{D_a} (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1}}.$$

We write (2.2) as

$$(2.12) \quad I(k; \mathbf{b}) = n \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{E}_j,$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_j = \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle \int_{D_j} (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1} - \int_{D_j} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1}.$$

In this limit process the facet F_a remains unchanged, so by (2.10) and (2.11)

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{k_i \rightarrow k_a} \mathcal{E}_a = \langle \text{Cm}(F_a), \mathbf{b} \rangle \int_{D_a} (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1} - \int_{D_a} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1} = 0.$$

On the other hand, the facets F_j , with $j \neq a$ give rise, in the limit $k_i \rightarrow k_a$, to a subdivision of F_a (in Remark after Theorem 17 is detailed this subdivision in a particular case). Hence

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{k_i \rightarrow k_a} \sum_{j \neq a} \int_{D_j} (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1} = \int_{D_a} (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1},$$

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{k_i \rightarrow k_a} \sum_{j \neq a} \int_{D_j} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1} = \int_{D_a} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1}.$$

From (2.10), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.11) it follows

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{k_i \rightarrow k_a} \sum_{j \neq a} \mathcal{E}_j = \langle \text{Cm}(F_a), \mathbf{b} \rangle \int_{D_a} (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1} - \int_{D_a} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^{n-1} = 0.$$

We deduce from (2.13) and (2.16) that $\lim_{k_i \rightarrow k_a} I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$. That is, $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is divisible by $k_i - k_a$. $\square$

3. Δ_p BUNDLE OVER Δ_1

Given the integer $p > 1$, following [5] we consider the following vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{n}_i = -e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad \mathbf{n}_{p+1} = \sum_{i=1}^p e_i, \quad \mathbf{n}_{p+2} = -e_{p+1}, \quad \mathbf{n}_{p+3} = e_{p+1} - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i e_i,$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_{p+1}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We write

$$\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p, \quad A := \sum_{i=1}^p a_i.$$

Let λ, τ be real positive numbers with $\lambda + a_i > 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, p$. We will consider the polytope Δ in $(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})^*$ defined by the above conormals $\mathbf{n}_i$ and the following k_i

$$k_1 = \dots = k_p = k_{p+2} = 0, \quad k_{p+1} = \tau, \quad k_{p+3} = \lambda.$$

The polytope Δ is a Δ_p bundle on Δ_1 (see [5]). When $p = 2$, Δ is the prism whose base is the triangle of vertices $(0, 0, 0)$, $(\tau, 0, 0)$ and $(0, \tau, 0)$ and whose ceiling is the triangle determined by $(0, 0, \lambda)$, $(\tau, 0, \lambda + a_1\tau)$ and $(0, \tau, \lambda + a_2\tau)$.

We assume that the above polytope Δ is a Delzant polytope. The manifold (2.4) is in this case

$$M_\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{p+3} : \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} |z_i|^2 = \tau/\pi, \quad -\sum_{j=1}^p a_j |z_j|^2 + |z_{p+2}|^2 + |z_{p+3}|^2 = \lambda/\pi\} / \simeq,$$

where $(z_j) \simeq (z'_j)$ iff there are $\alpha, \beta \in U(1)$ such that

$$z'_j = \alpha \beta^{-a_j} z_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, p; \quad z'_{p+1} = \alpha z_{p+1}; \quad z'_k = \beta z_k, \quad k = p+2, p+3.$$

The symplectic form (2.6) is

$$\omega_\Delta = (1/2)(\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_p + \sigma_{p+2}),$$

where $\sigma_k = d\rho_k^2 \wedge d\varphi_k$.

And the moment map

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_\Delta([z]) = \pi(\rho_1^2, \dots, \rho_p^2, \rho_{p+2}^2).$$

Thus M_Δ is the total space of the fibre bundle $\mathbb{P}(L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_p \oplus \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$, where L_j is the holomorphic line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with Chern number a_j .

Given $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$ we write

$$B := \sum_{j=1}^p b_j, \quad \mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} := \sum_{j=1}^p a_j b_j.$$

Proposition 6. Let $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, 0)$ be an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = \frac{\tau}{p+2} \frac{\lambda(p+2)B + \tau(AB + \mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}})}{\lambda(p+1) + \tau A}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{M_\Delta} (\omega_\Delta)^{p+1} = (p+1)! \int_{S_p(\tau)} \left(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^p a_j x_j \right) = (p+1)! \left(\frac{\lambda \tau^p}{p!} + \frac{\tau^{p+1} A}{(p+1)!} \right).$$

Similarly, for $k = 1, \dots, p$

$$\int_{M_\Delta} x_k (\omega_\Delta)^{p+1} = (p+1)! \left(\frac{\lambda \tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} + \frac{\tau^{p+2}}{(p+2)!} \sum_{j \neq k} a_j + \frac{2\tau^{p+2} a_k}{(p+2)!} \right).$$

So the k -th coordinate of $\text{Cm}(\Delta)$, $\bar{x}_k$, is

$$\bar{x}_k = \frac{\tau}{p+2} \frac{\lambda(p+2) + \tau(A + a_k)}{\lambda(p+1) + \tau A}.$$

So

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = \frac{\tau}{p+2} \frac{\lambda(p+2)B + \tau(AB + \mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}})}{\lambda(p+1) + \tau A}.$$

□

Theorem 7. Let $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, 0)$ be an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$. For all for all $\tau > 0$ and all λ with $\lambda + a_i > 0$,

$$I(\lambda, \tau; \hat{\mathbf{b}}) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \tau) \hat{\mathcal{Z}},$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \tau) = \frac{\tau^{p+1}}{p+2} \left(\frac{\tau}{\lambda(p+1) + \tau A} - 1 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{Z}} = 2((p+1)\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - AB).$$

Proof. We write

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{p+1} I(\lambda, \tau; \hat{\mathbf{b}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p+3} (\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle \Phi_j - \Phi'_j),$$

where

$$\Phi_j := \int_{z_j=0} (\omega_\Delta)^p, \quad \Phi'_j := \int_{z_j=0} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^p.$$

To calculate the values of the Φ_i , we will distinguish three cases, according to the value of i :

- a) $i = 1, \dots, p$
- b) $i = p+1$
- c) $i = p+2, p+3$.

We will also respect this classification in the calculation of the Φ'_i .

- a) We calculate the value of Φ_1 . On $z_1 = 0$

$$(\omega_\Delta)^p = \frac{p!}{2^p} (\sigma_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_p \wedge \sigma_{p+2}).$$

If we put $x_i := \pi \rho_i^2$, then

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{p!} \Phi_1 = \int_0^\tau dx_2 \int_0^{\tau-x_2} dx_3 \dots \int_0^{\tau-\sum_{j=2}^{p-1} x_j} dx_p \int_0^{\lambda+\sum_{j=2}^p a_j x_j} dx_{p+2}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2

$$\frac{1}{p!}\Phi_1 = \int_{S_{p-1}(\tau)} \left(\lambda + \sum_{j=2}^p a_j x_j \right) = \frac{\lambda \tau^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} + \frac{\tau^p}{p!} \sum_{j=2}^p a_j.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, p$, a similar calculation gives

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{p!}\Phi_k = \frac{\lambda \tau^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} + \frac{\tau^p}{p!} \sum_{j \neq k}^p a_j.$$

b) Next we consider Φ_{p+1} . Now $x_{p+1} = 0$. So $x_p = \tau - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} x_j$ and

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_{jp} x_j - a_p \tau + x_{p+2} + x_{p+3} = \lambda, \text{ with } a_{jp} := a_j - a_p.$$

Hence

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{p!}\Phi_{p+1} = \int_{S_{p-1}(\tau)} \left(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_{jp} x_j + a_p \tau \right) = \frac{\lambda \tau^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} + \frac{\tau^p}{p!} A.$$

c)

$$\Phi_{p+2} = \Phi_{p+3} = \tau^p.$$

Thus, it follows from (3.9) and (3.8)

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{p+3} \Phi_j = (2 + pA)\tau^p + p(p+1)\lambda\tau^{p-1}$$

Next we determine the values of the Φ'_i .

a') On $z_k = 0$, with $k = 1, \dots, p$, $\langle \mu_\Delta[z], \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = \sum_{i \neq k}^p b_i x_i$. Then

$$\frac{1}{p!}\Phi'_k = \int_{S_{p-1}(\tau)} \left(\lambda + \sum_{j \neq k}^p a_j x_j \right) \sum_{i \neq k}^p b_i x_i.$$

By Lemma 2

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{p!}\Phi'_k = \left(\sum_{i \neq k}^p b_i \right) \frac{\lambda \tau^p}{p!} + 2 \left(\sum_{i \neq k}^p a_i b_i \right) \frac{\tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} + \left(\sum_{j \neq i, j \neq k \neq i}^p a_i b_j \right) \frac{\tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!}$$

b') Using the notation introduced in b),

$$\frac{1}{p!}\Phi'_{p+1} = \int_{S_{p-1}(\tau)} \left(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_{jp} x_j + a_p \tau \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_{ip} x_i + b_p \tau \right),$$

with $b_{ip} := b_i - b_p$. Lemma 2 and a straightforward calculation give

$$(3.12) \quad \Phi'_{p+1} = \lambda \tau^p B + (\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} + AB) \frac{\tau^{p+1}}{p+1}$$

c')

$$\Phi'_{p+2} = \Phi'_{p+3} = \frac{B \tau^{p+1}}{p+1}.$$

From this last result together with (3.11) and (3.12) it follows

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{p+3} \Phi'_j = B\lambda\tau^p + \left((p+1)\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} + (p-1)AB + 2B \right) \frac{\tau^{p+1}}{p+1}$$

Taking into account (3.6), Proposition 6, (3.13) and (3.10), by means of an easy but tedious calculation one obtains

$$(3.14) \quad I(\Delta, \hat{\mathbf{b}}) = \frac{2((p+1)\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} - AB)}{(p+2)(\lambda(p+1) + \tau A)} ((1-A)\tau^{p+2} - (p+1)\lambda\tau^{p+1}).$$

If we define $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{K}(\tau, \lambda)$ as in the statement of theorem, then (3.14) can be written $I(\Delta, \hat{\mathbf{b}}) = \mathcal{K}(\tau, \lambda)\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$. □

We write $\dot{\mathbf{b}}$ for the element $(0, \dots, 0, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$.

Proposition 8. *Given $\dot{\mathbf{b}} = (0, \dots, 0, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, then*

$$(3.15) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \dot{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = \frac{b}{2} \frac{(p+1)(p+2)\lambda^2 + 2(p+2)A\lambda\tau + (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2)\tau^2}{(p+2)((p+1)\lambda + A\tau)},$$

where $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \sum_i a_i^2$.

Proof. We need to calculate $\int_M bx_{p+2}\omega^{p+1}$. By Lemma 2

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \int_M bx_{p+2}\omega^{p+1} = \frac{b}{2} \int_{S_p(\tau)} \left(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^p a_j x_j \right)^2 \\ = \frac{b}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda^2 \tau^p}{p!} + \frac{2A\lambda\tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} + \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2)\tau^{p+2}}{(p+2)!} \right).$$

Formula (3.15) is a consequence of (3.4) together with (3.16). □

Theorem 9. *Let $\dot{\mathbf{b}} = (0, \dots, 0, b)$ be an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$. For all for all $\tau > 0$ and all λ with $\lambda + a_i > 0$,*

$$I(\lambda, \tau; \dot{\mathbf{b}}) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \tau)\dot{\mathcal{Z}},$$

where

$$\dot{\mathcal{Z}} = b((p+1)\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} - A^2),$$

and $\mathcal{K}(\lambda, \tau)$ is defined as in (3.5).

Proof. As in the preceding theorem

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{1}{p+1} I(\lambda, \tau; \dot{\mathbf{b}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p+3} (\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \dot{\mathbf{b}} \rangle \Phi_j - \Phi'_j).$$

The Φ_j in (3.17) as the same as in (3.6). But now $\langle \mu, \dot{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = bx_{p+2}$. We will follow the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 7 for calculating the Φ'_j .

a') The corresponding $\Phi'_k = \int_M \langle \mu, \dot{\mathbf{b}} \rangle \omega^p$ can be calculated as in Theorem 7. For $k = 1, \dots, p$ one has

$$\frac{1}{p!} \Phi'_k = \frac{b}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda^2 \tau^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} + 2 \frac{\lambda \tau^p}{p!} \sum_{j \neq k} a_j + 2 \frac{\tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \sum_{j \neq k} a_j^2 + \frac{\tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \sum_{j \neq i, j \neq k \neq i} a_i a_j \right).$$

b') Now

$$\frac{1}{p!}\Phi'_{p+1} = \frac{b}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda^2 \tau^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} + \frac{2\lambda \tau^p A}{p!} + \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2) \tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \right)$$

c') In this case

$$\Phi'_{p+2} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{p!}\Phi'_{p+3} = b \left(\frac{\lambda \tau^p}{p!} + \frac{A \tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \right).$$

If we insert in (3.17) the values for the Φ_j obtained in the proof of Theorem 7, the above values of the Φ'_j and (3.15) we arrive to $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \tau) \mathcal{Z}$. $\square$

Given $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, we write $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, 0)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{b}} := \mathbf{b} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}$. We put

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b}) := (p+1)(\mathbf{a} \cdot (2\hat{\mathbf{b}} + b\mathbf{a})) - A(2B + bA),$$

that is, $\mathcal{Z} = \hat{\mathcal{Z}} + \dot{\mathcal{Z}}$. It follows from (2.2) that $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b})$ is a group homomorphism with respect to the variable $\mathbf{b}$. By Theorem 7 and Theorem 9 one has

Theorem 10. *If $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, then*

$$I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \tau) \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b}),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b})$ is given by (3.18).

This theorem expresses the value of $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b})$ as the product of two factors. $\mathcal{K}$ is independent of the Hamiltonian loop, it depends only of λ, τ . On the contrary, the factor $\mathcal{Z}$ is constant on the chamber of the polytope.

Let $\mathbf{b} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \dot{\mathbf{b}}$ be as before, since $\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle + \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \dot{\mathbf{b}} \rangle$, by (3.3) and (3.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle &= \frac{b}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2) \tau}{(p+2)A} + \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} + AB) \tau}{(p+2)A}. \\ \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle &= \frac{b\lambda}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass iff

$$(3.19) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{b\lambda}{2} + \left(\frac{b}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2)}{(p+2)A} + \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} + AB)}{(p+2)A} \right) \tau$$

If we insert in the equation (3.19) the expressions for $\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle$ and $\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \dot{\mathbf{b}} \rangle$ given by (3.3) and (3.15) we obtain the following equivalent condition for the pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ to be mass linear.

$$(3.20) \quad B(p+2) + bA(p+2) = \frac{bA(p+2)}{2} + \left(\frac{b(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2)}{2A} + \frac{AB + \mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{A} \right) (p+1).$$

That is,

$$\frac{b(A^2 - (p+1)\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})}{2} = (p+1)\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - AB.$$

Taking into account the definition of $\mathcal{Z}$ given in (3.18) we can state the following theorem

Theorem 11. *If $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, then $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b}) = 0$.*

A consequence of Theorem 10 and Theorem 11 is the following result

Theorem 12. *Assume that the Delzant polytope Δ is a Δ_p bundle over Δ_1 . Given $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, then the pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is mass linear iff the characteristic number $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ of the Hamiltonian fibration $E_{\Delta(k), \mathbf{b}} \rightarrow S^2$ is zero for all k in the chamber of Δ .*

Given λ and τ , the map $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1} \mapsto I(\lambda, \tau; \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a group homomorphism. By Theorem 10 its kernel is $\mathcal{H} := \{\mathbf{b} \mid \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b}) = 0\}$.

Taking into account (1.3), if $\mathbf{b} \notin \mathcal{H}$ then $1 \neq [\psi_{\mathbf{b}}] \in \pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_{\Delta}))$. So we have the following Proposition

Proposition 13. *If $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is not mass linear, then $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_{\Delta}))$.*

In particular $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, 0)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ iff

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{j=1}^p b_j((p+1)a_j - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i) = 0.$$

We put $c_j := (p+1)a_j - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i$. If $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \neq 0$, then $\hat{\mathbf{c}} := (c_1, \dots, c_p, 0)$ does not satisfy (3.21). Thus one has the following corollary

Corollary 14. *If $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, then $[\psi_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}]$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup of $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_{\Delta}))$.*

Analogously

Corollary 15. *If $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\check{\mathbf{b}} = (0, \dots, 0, b) \neq 0$, then $[\psi_{\check{\mathbf{b}}}]$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup of $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_{\Delta}))$.*

4. ONE POINT BLOW UP OF $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

4.1. Hirzebruch surfaces. Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\tau, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $\sigma := \tau - k\lambda > 0$, we consider the Hirzebruch surface M determined by these numbers. M is the quotient

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^4 : |z_1|^2 + k|z_2|^2 + |z_4|^2 = \tau/\pi, |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 = \lambda/\pi\} / \mathbb{T},$$

where the equivalence defined by $\mathbb{T} = (S^1)^2$ is given by

$$(a, b) \cdot (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (az_1, a^k b z_2, b z_3, a z_4),$$

for $(a, b) \in (S^1)^2$. (The definition of Hirzebruch surface given in [7] can be obtained exchanging z_1 for z_2 in the above definition.)

The manifold M equipped with the following $(U(1))^2$ action

$$(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)[z_j] = [\epsilon_1 z_1, \epsilon_2 z_2, z_3, z_4],$$

is a toric manifold. The corresponding moment polytope Δ is the trapezium in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with vertices $P_1 = (0, 0)$, $P_2 = (0, \lambda)$, $P_3 = (\tau, 0)$, $P_4 = (\sigma, \lambda)$. The mass center of Δ is

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta) = \left(\frac{3\tau^2 - 3k\tau\lambda + k^2\lambda^2}{3(2\tau - k\lambda)}, \frac{3\lambda\tau - 2k\lambda^2}{3(2\tau - k\lambda)} \right).$$

Given $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is mass linear, iff there exist $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle = A\tau + B\lambda + C,$$

for all (τ, λ) in the chamber of Δ . From this condition one deduces the following proposition

Proposition 16. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ to be a mass linear pair is $2b_2 = kb_1$.*

Remark. The condition $2b_2 = kb_1$ can be expressed in terms of concepts introduced in [5]. The facets P_1P_2 and P_3P_4 of Δ are equivalent (according to Definition 1.11 of [5]). Thus, if $2b_2 = kb_1$, then $\mathbf{b}$ is inessential (see Definition 1.13 in [5]).

We denote by ϕ_t the following isotopy of M

$$\phi_t[z] = [e^{2\pi it}z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4].$$

ϕ is a loop in the Hamiltonian group of M . By ϕ' we denote the Hamiltonian loop

$$\phi'_t[z] = [z_1, e^{2\pi it}z_2, z_3, z_4].$$

In Theorem 8 of [7] we proved that $I(\phi') = (-2/k)I(\phi)$. If $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, then

$$I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}}) = b_1I(\phi) + b_2I(\phi') = (b_1 - (2/k)b_2)I(\phi).$$

From Proposition 16 one deduces the following theorem

Theorem 17. *The pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is mass linear iff $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}}) = 0$. (Equivalently $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all (τ, λ) in the chamber of Δ .)*

Remark. We will deduce the vanishing of $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b})$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta}$ when $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is mass linear, by an indirect way; that is, without calculating the integrals of (2.2).

If $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair, $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in τ, λ , by Proposition 4. That is,

$$(4.2) \quad I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = C_1\lambda^2 + C_2\lambda\tau + C_3\tau^2.$$

Fixed τ , if $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, then Δ converts into the segment $[0, \tau]$, and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \text{Cm}(\Delta) = (\tau/2, 0)$. In the limit, the facets of Δ give rise to the segments

$$F_1 = [0, \tau], F_2 = [0, \sigma], F_3 = [\sigma, \tau],$$

on the axis of abscissas. So $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b})$ is the sum of the contributions of $D_j = \mu^{-1}(F_j)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ (see (2.1)). As F_2, F_3 is a decomposition of F_1 , then

$$\int_{D_1} f\omega_{\Delta} = \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{D_j} f\omega_{\Delta},$$

and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = -4 \int_{D_1} f\omega_{\Delta}$. On the other hand

$$\int_{D_1} f\omega_{\Delta} = \int_0^{\tau} xb_1 dx - \frac{\tau b_1}{2} \tau = 0.$$

Hence $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = 0$, and C_3 in (4.2) is zero. This result can also be deduced from Proposition 5.

Now let us assume that $k = 1$. We denote by $\Delta'(\tau)$ the triangle of vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, \tau)$, and $(\tau, 0)$; the corresponding toric manifold is $\mathbb{C}P^2$. As $\text{Ham}(\mathbb{C}P^2)$ has the homotopy type of $PU(3)$, the group homomorphism $I : \pi_1(\text{Ham}(\mathbb{C}P^2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is zero.

For $\lambda \gg 1$ and $0 < \sigma \ll 1$, the difference between the polytopes $\Delta(\tau, \lambda)$ and $\Delta'(\tau)$ is a triangle with small sides. Thus, by formula (2.2) for the characteristic class I , the expression $|I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) - I(\Delta'(\tau); \mathbf{b})|$ will be as small as we wish, if λ is big enough and σ is sufficiently small. As $I(\Delta'(\tau); \mathbf{b}) = 0$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty; \sigma \rightarrow 0} I(\lambda, \tau; \mathbf{b}) = 0.$$

If we take $\sigma = a/\lambda$, with a an arbitrary positive number, then

$$0 = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} ((C_1 + C_2)\lambda^2 + aC_2).$$

That is, $C_i = 0$; and by (4.2), $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ on the chamber of Δ .

4.2. One point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. The mass center of the simplex $S_n(\tau)$, defined in Lemma 2, is the point

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Cm}(S_n(\tau)) = \frac{\tau}{n+1}w,$$

with $w = (1, \dots, 1)$. So any pair $(S_n(\tau), \mathbf{b})$ with $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is mass linear. Moreover, if we write

$$(1/n)I(S_n(\tau); \mathbf{b}) = \langle \text{Cm}(S_n(\tau)), \mathbf{b} \rangle \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Phi_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Phi'_j,$$

here

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j &= \tau^{n-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1. \\ \Phi'_j &= \frac{\tau^n}{n} \sum_{i \neq j} b_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad \Phi'_{n+1} = \frac{\tau^n}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle \text{Cm}(S_n(\tau)), \mathbf{b} \rangle \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Phi_j = \tau^n \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Phi'_j.$$

We have the following proposition

Proposition 18. *The invariant $I(S_n(\tau); \mathbf{b})$ is zero for all τ and all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

In this subsection Δ will be

$$(4.4) \quad \Delta = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \tau, 0 \leq x_i, x_n \leq \lambda \right\},$$

where $\tau, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\sigma := \tau - \lambda > 0$. That is, Δ is the polytope obtained truncating the simplex $S_n(\tau)$ by a “horizontal” hyperplane through the point $(0, \dots, 0, \lambda)$.

As the volume of $S_n(\tau)$ is $\tau^n/n!$, it follows from (4.3)

$$(\tau^n - \sigma^n) \text{Cm}(\Delta) = \tau^n \frac{\tau}{n+1}w - \sigma^n \left(\frac{\sigma}{n+1}w + \lambda e_n \right).$$

That is,

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta) = \frac{1}{\tau^n - \sigma^n} \left(\left(\frac{\tau^{n+1} - \sigma^{n+1}}{n+1} \right) w - \lambda \sigma^n e_n \right).$$

The pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n))$ is mass linear iff there exist $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \frac{\tau^{n+1} - \sigma^{n+1}}{n+1} + b_n \left(\frac{\tau^{n+1} - \sigma^{n+1}}{n+1} - (\tau - \sigma)\sigma^n \right) = (A\tau + B\sigma + C)(\tau^n - \sigma^n),$$

for all τ, σ “admissible”. A straightforward calculation proves the following proposition

Proposition 19. *The pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is mass linear iff*

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j.$$

The manifold M_Δ associated with Δ is the one point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. On the other hand, the general arguments showed in the Remark after Theorem 17 allow us to prove following Proposition

Proposition 20. *Let Δ be the polytope (4.4), if $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair, then $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ on $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4, $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=0}^n B_i \lambda^{n-i} \tau^i$. From (4.5) one obtains

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \text{Cm}(\Delta) = \frac{\tau}{n} \hat{w},$$

with $\hat{w} = (1, \dots, 1, 0)$. Hence the contribution of the base $F := \{x \in \Delta \mid x_n = 0\}$ to $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b})$ is proportional to

$$(4.6) \quad \left(\frac{\tau}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \int_{S_{n-1}(\tau)} 1 - \int_{S_{n-1}(\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i x_i \right) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the other facets F_i of Δ different from the base F give rise to a decomposition of F in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Thus, with the notation of (2.1),

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{D_i \neq D} \int_{D_i} f \omega^{n-1} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int_D f \omega^{n-1},$$

where $D_i := \mu^{-1}(F_i)$ and $D := \mu^{-1}(F)$. By (4.6) this limit vanishes. It follows from (2.2) that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = 0$. So

$$I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i \lambda^{n-i} \tau^i.$$

For $\lambda \gg 1$ and $0 < \sigma \ll 1$, the difference between the polytopes $\Delta(\tau, \lambda)$ and $S_n(\tau)$ is a polytope with small edges. Thus, $|I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) - I(S_n(\tau); \mathbf{b})|$ will be as small as we wish, when λ is big enough and σ is sufficiently small. By Proposition 18 $I(S_n(\tau); \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all τ . Hence, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty; \sigma \rightarrow 0} I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = 0$.

We take $\sigma = \lambda^{-1/n}$; from

$$0 = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i \lambda^{n-i} (\lambda + \lambda^{-1/n})^i,$$

one obtains a homogeneous system of n linearly independent equations

$$B_0 + \dots + B_{n-1} = 0, \quad B_1 + 2B_2 + \dots + (n-1)B_{n-1} = 0, \quad \dots, \quad B_{n-1} = 0,$$

for the n constants. So $B_j = 0$, and $I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ on $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$. $\square$

Next we will prove the reciprocal proposition of the preceding one. We denote by F_j the facet of Δ defined by $x_j = 0$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$; F_{n+1} will be the “ceiling”

$x_n = \lambda$ and F_{n+2} the facet $x_1 + \dots + x_n = \tau$. We write as above

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{1}{n} I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \Phi_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \Phi'_j,$$

with $\Phi_j = \int_{F_j} 1$, $\Phi'_j = \int_{F_j} \sum_i b_i x_i$. By Lemma 2

$$\Phi_j = \tau^{n-1} - \sigma^{n-1}; \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n-1, n+2. \quad \Phi_n = \tau^{n-1}. \quad \Phi_{n+1} = \sigma^{n-1}.$$

Thus we have

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \Phi_j = (n+1)\tau^{n-1} + (1-n)\sigma^{n-1}.$$

If $\mathbf{b} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, 0)$, by (4.5)

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\tau^{n+1} - \sigma^{n+1}}{\tau^n - \sigma^n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j.$$

Similarly,

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \Phi'_j = \frac{1}{n} (n\tau^n + (2-n)\sigma^n) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j$$

If we put $\epsilon := \sigma/\tau$, it follows from (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9)

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{n!} I(\tau, \lambda; \hat{\mathbf{b}}) = -\frac{\tau^n}{n+1} \frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{(n-2)!} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j + O(\epsilon^n).$$

Next we determine $I(\tau, \lambda; \dot{\mathbf{b}})$, when $\dot{\mathbf{b}} = (0, \dots, 0, b_n)$. Now

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \Phi'_j = b_n \tau^n (1 + (1-n)\epsilon^{n-1} + (n-2)\epsilon^n)$$

and

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \dot{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = b_n \frac{\tau}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{n\epsilon^n}{n+1}\right) + O(\epsilon^{n+1}).$$

Hence

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{1}{n!} I(\tau, \lambda; \dot{\mathbf{b}}) = b_n \frac{n\tau^n}{n+1} \frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{(n-2)!} + O(\epsilon^n).$$

Now, if $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, it follows from (4.10), (4.11)

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{1}{n!} I(\tau, \lambda; \mathbf{b}) = \left(n b_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \right) \frac{\tau^n}{n+1} \frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{(n-2)!} + O(\epsilon^n).$$

From (4.12) together with Proposition 19 we deduce the following proposition

Proposition 21. *If Δ is the polytope (4.4) and $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$, then $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair.*

Propositions 20 and 21 imply the following theorem

Theorem 22. *If Δ is the polytope (4.4), then $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair iff $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$.*

It follows from Theorem 22, together with Proposition 19 and the homomorphism (1.3) the following proposition

Proposition 23. *If $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \neq nb_n$, then $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_{\Delta}))$.*

Remark. When $n = 3$ the toric manifold M corresponding to Δ is

$$M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^5 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_5|^2 = \tau/\pi, |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 = \lambda/\pi\}/\mathbb{T},$$

where the action of $\mathbb{T} = (U(1))^2$ is defined by

$$(4.13) \quad (a, b)(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5) = (az_1, az_2, abz_3, bz_4, az_5),$$

for $a, b \in U(1)$.

We consider the following loops in the Hamiltonian group of M

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t[z] &= [z_1 e^{2\pi i t}, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5], \quad \psi'_t[z] = [z_1, z_2 e^{2\pi i t}, z_3, z_4, z_5], \\ \tilde{\psi}_t[z] &= [z_1, z_2, z_3 e^{2\pi i t}, z_4, z_5]. \end{aligned}$$

In [8] (Remark in Section 4) we gave formulas that relate the characteristic numbers associated with these loops

$$I(\psi) = I(\psi') = (-1/3)I(\tilde{\psi}).$$

So for $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$,

$$(4.14) \quad I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}}) = (b_1 + b_2 - 3b_3)I(\psi).$$

By Proposition 19 the vanishing of $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$ in (4.14) is equivalent to the fact that $(\Delta, \mathbf{b})$ is a mass linear pair. This equivalence is a particular case of Theorem 22.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Guillemin, *Moment maps and combinatorial invariants of Hamiltonian T^n -spaces*. Birkhäuser, Boston, (1994).
- [2] V. Guillemin, L. Lerman, S. Sternberg, *Symplectic fibrations and multiplicity diagrams*. Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, (1996).
- [3] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, L. Polterovich, *Topological rigidity of Hamiltonian loops and quantum homology*. Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 369-385.
- [4] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Clarenton Press, Oxford, (1998).
- [5] D. McDuff, S. Tolman, *Polytopes with mass linear functions, part I*. [arXiv:0807.0900 \[math.SG\]](#).
- [6] L. Polterovich, *The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms*, Birkhäuser, Basel, (2001).
- [7] A. Viña, *A characteristic number of Hamiltonian bundles over S^2* . J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), 2327-2343.
- [8] A. Viña, *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of toric manifolds and flag manifolds*. J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), 943-965.

DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA. UNIVERSIDAD DE OVIEDO. AVDA CALVO SOTELLO. 33007 OVIEDO. SPAIN.

E-mail address: vina@uniovi.es